

Opt Out!

The Facts About The Whole Body Imager Device

Is It Constitutional?

The 4th amendment to the constitution specifically states:

“The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, SHALL NOT BE VIOLATED, and Warrants shall not be issued, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.”

Is It Stopping Terrorism?

Thousands of illegal immigrants come across the southern border of these United States unchallenged, while an honest American cannot go about his own country without being subjugated to an illegal search and seizure at the airport, and get molested by TSA agents for declaring their rights to “Opt-Out”.

Is It Photographing And Storing Your Naked Photos?

“It will show the private parts of people, but what we’ve decided is that we’re not going to blur those out, because it severely limits the detection capabilities... It is possible to see genitals and breasts while they’re going through the machine...” -Cheryl Johnson- Office of Transport Security manager

These **"devices are designed and deployed in a way that allows the images to be routinely stored and recorded, which is exactly what the Marshals Service is doing... We think it's significant."** EPIC executive director Marc Rotenberg in interview with CNET

“Approximately 35,314 images... have been stored on the Brijot Gen2 machine...” used in the Orlando, Fla. Federal Courthouse. -William Bordley- Associate General Counsel with the Marshals Service

A 70-page document showing the TSA's procurement specifications, classified as **"sensitive security information"** says that, in some modes the scanner must **"allow exporting of image data in real time"** and provide a mechanism for **"high-speed transfer of image data" over the network.** It also says that image filters will **"protect the identity, modesty, and privacy of the passenger.”** –Procurement Specification For Whole Body Imager Devices For Checkpoint Operations, report by U.S. Department of Homeland Security, TSA

TSA spokeswoman Sari Koshetz lied to CNET, stating that the agency's scanners are delivered to airports with the image recording functions turned off. **"We're not recording them... I'm reiterating that to the public. We are not ever activating those capabilities at the airport”**

Can These Scanners Cause Cancer?

"Some studies reported significant genetic damage while others, although similar, showed none..."
Boian Alexandrov- Center for Nonlinear Studies at Los Alamos National Laboratory in New Mexico

Although the forces generated from these scanners are tiny, resonant effects allow THz waves to unzip double-stranded DNA, creating bubbles in the double strand that could significantly interfere with

processes such as gene expression and DNA replication. **Translation: It destroys your DNA!** -Boian Alexandrov- Center for Nonlinear Studies at Los Alamos National Laboratory in New Mexico

"...any X-ray photon may be the one which sets in motion the high-speed, high energy electron which causes a carcinogenic or atherogenic (smooth muscle) mutation. Such mutations rarely disappear. The higher their accumulated number in a population, the higher will be the population's mortality rates from radiation-induced cancer and ischemic heart disease." -Dr. John Gofman- Professor Emeritus of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley.

Gofman's studies indicate that radiation from medical diagnostics and treatment is a causal co-factor in 50 percent of America's cancers and 60 percent of our ischemic (blood flow blockage) heart disease. He stresses that the frequency with which Americans are medically X-rayed **"makes for a significant radiological impact"**. -Dr. John Gofman- Professor Emeritus of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley.

Children and passengers with gene mutations - around one in 20 of the population - are more at risk as they are less able to repair X-ray damage to their DNA. The most likely risk from the airport scanners is a common type of skin cancer called basal cell carcinoma. -Dr. David Brenner- head of Columbia University's Centre For Radiological Research

"If all 800 million people who use airports every year were screened with X-rays then the very small individual risk multiplied by the large number of screened people might imply a potential public health or societal risk. The population risk has the potential to be significant... If there are increases in cancers as a result of irradiation of children, they would most likely appear some decades in the future. It would be prudent not to scan the head and neck... There really is no other technology around where we're planning to X-ray such an enormous number of individuals. It's really unprecedented in the radiation world." -Dr. David Brenner- head of Columbia University's Centre For Radiological Research

"They say the risk is minimal, but statistically someone is going to get skin cancer from these X-rays... No exposure to X-ray is considered beneficial. We know X-rays are hazardous but we have a situation at the airports where people are so eager to fly that they will risk their lives in this manner..." -Dr Michael Love-, Department of Biophysics and Biophysical Chemistry at Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine

"While the dose would be safe if it were distributed throughout the volume of the entire body, the dose to the skin may be dangerously high," they wrote. "We still don't know the beam intensity or other details of their classified system..." -John Sedat- Biochemist, University of California, San Francisco (UCSF)

"Collectively, the radiation doses from the scanners incrementally increase the risk of fatal cancers among the thousands or millions of travelers who will be exposed, some radiation experts believe... We don't have enough information to make a decision on whether there's going to be a biological effect or not." -Douglas Boreham- professor in medical physics and applied radiation sciences at McMaster University in Hamilton, Ontario

"The thing that worries me the most, is not what happens if the machine works as advertised, but what happens if it doesn't" -Peter Rez- Arizona State University

"[We] cannot exclude the possibility of a fatal cancer attributable to radiation in a very large population of people exposed to very low doses of radiation." National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, from a 2002 report that studied these security devices: (<http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/AC...>)

"Based on our results we argue that a specific terahertz radiation exposure may significantly affect the natural dynamics of DNA, and thereby influence intricate molecular processes involved in gene expression and DNA replication." Technology Review article from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/0910.5294>

In other words... millimeter wave scanning devices may damage your DNA!

